MISQ Archivist

Designing Payment Contracts for Healthcare Services to Induce Information Sharing: The Adoption and the Value of Health InformationExchanges

Mehmet U. S. Ayvaci, Huseyin Cavusoglu, Yeongin Kim, and Srinivasan Raghunathan

Abstract

Recent initiatives to improve health care quality and reduce costs have centered around payment mechanisms and ITenabled health-information exchanges (HIEs). Such initiatives have profound influences both on providers' choices regarding health care effort levels and HIE adoption and on patients' choices of providers. Using a game-theoretical model of a health care setup, we examine the role of payment models in aligning providers' and patients' incentives for realizing socially optimal (i.e., first best) choices. We show that the traditional fee-for-service (FFS) payment model does not induce the first best. The pay-for-performance (P4P) model may induce the first best under some conditions if switching of providers by patients during a health episode is socially suboptimal and hence provider coordination is less of an issue. We identify an episode-based payment (EBP) model that can always induce the first best. The proposed EBP model reduces to the P4P model if the P4P model induces the first best. In other cases, the first-best inducing EBP model is multilateral in the sense that the payment to a provider depends not only on the provider's own efforts and outcomes but also those of the other provider. Furthermore, the payment in this EBP model is sequence dependent in the sense that payment to a provider is contingent upon whether the patient visits the provider first or second. We show that the proposed EBP model achieves the lowest health care cost, not necessarily at the expense of care quality or provider payment, relative to FFS and P4P. Although our proposed contract is complex, (1) it sets an optimality baseline when evaluating simpler contracts and also (2) characterizes aspects of a payment that need to be captured for socially desirable actions. We further show that the value of HIEs depends critically on the payment model as well as the social desirability of patient switching. The value of HIEs is higher when switching by at least some patients is desirable than when switching by any patient is undesirable under all three payment models. Moreover, the value of HIEs is highest under the FFS model and lowest under the P4P model. Hence, assessing the value of HIEs in isolation from the underlying payment mechanism and patient switching behavior may result in under- or overestimation of the HIE value. Therefore, as payment models evolve over time, there is a real need to reevaluate the HIE value and the government subsidies that induce providers to adopt HIE.

Keywords: Health information exchange, incentive alignment, payment models, health IT